7 CN 9312

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K

NANYUAN SHIPPING CO. LIMITED,

Plaintiff,

- against -

PINGXIANG IRON & STEEL CO. LTD.,

Defendant.

07 Civ.

ECF CASE

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, NANYUAN SHIPPING CO. LIMITED, ("Nanyuan" or "Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys, Lennon, Murphy & Lennon, LLC, as and for its Verified Complaint against the Defendant, PINGXIANG IRON & STEEL CO. LTD. ("Defendant") alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

- 1. This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 United States Code § 1333. Jurisdiction over this matter is also present pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 United States Code § 1 et seq., and this Court's federal question jurisdiction, 28 United States Code § 1331.
- 2. At all times material to this action, Plaintiff was, and still is, a foreign corporation, or other business entity organized and existing under foreign law with an address in Hong Kong.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant was, and still is, a foreign corporation, or other business entity organized and existing under foreign law with an address in the People's Republic of China.
- 4. At all material times, Plaintiff was the disponent Owner of the motor vessel "WORADA NAREE" (hereinafter the "Vessel").

- 5. Plaintiff chartered the Vessel from non-party, Sunwoo Merchant Marine of Korea ("Sunwoo"), which in turn previously chartered it from non-party, Tiger International Line Pte. Ltd. of China ("Tiger").
- 6. Tiger in turn previously chartered the Vessel from non-party, Precious Pearls Ltd. ("Precious Pearls").
- 7. All of the charter parties referenced above (Precious Pearls-Tiger, Tiger-Sunwoo, and Sunwoo-Nanyang) were essentially on "back-to-back," *i.e.* identical terms.
- 8. By a charter party dated May 11, 2007, Plaintiff, as disponent Owner, chartered the Vessel to Defendant for the carriage of a cargo of steel products (the "cargo").
- 9. During the course of the charter, disputes arose between the parties stemming from damage allegedly caused to the cargo.
- 10. Various allegations were raised by the cargo receivers with regard to the alleged damaged condition of the cargo.
- 11. The cargo receivers then proceeded to bring a claim for cargo damage in the amount of \$6,457,785.00 against the Owners of the Vessel, non-party, Precious Pearls.
- 12. Despite the fact that pursuant to the terms of the charter party Defendant is wholly or substantially liable for the alleged cargo damage, the receivers elected to assert their claims in the first instance against the Vessel and Precious Pearls as owner of the Vessel, as is its right.
- 13. Precious Pearls then proceeded to bring an indemnity claim against charterers, Tiger and Sunwoo, for the claim asserted against it by the cargo receivers.
- 14. Despite due demand, Tiger and Sunwoo failed to secure Precious Pearls for its indemnity claim.

- 15. In order to obtain security for its claim, Precious Pearls commenced an action against Tiger and Sunwoo in this Court under docket number 07 Civ. 8325, praying for the issuance an ex-parte order for process of maritime attachment and garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims.
- 16. The Court issued an Ex-Parte Order and Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment was subsequently issued by the Court directing the various garnishees listed therein to restrain the property of Tiger and Sunwoo found within the District in the amount of \$8,500,000.00
- 17. Pursuant to service of the Ex-Parte Order and Process, property of Tiger and/or Sunwoo was restrained within the District in the amount of \$8,500,000.00 as security for the claim for which Defendant is liable under the charter party.
- 18. Tiger and Sunwoo have now raised an indemnity claim against Plaintiff, Nanyuan, and made a formal demand for security in the amount of \$10,000,000.00.
- 19. Reserving all of its rights, Plaintiff will have to provide security to Tiger and/or Sunwoo in the amount of \$10,000,000.00 as security for their indemnity claim(s), for which Defendant is liable under the charter party, in order to avoid the arrest and/or attachment of its assets or further action by Tiger and Sunwoo.
- 20. Plaintiff is entitled to indemnity from Defendant in respect of the claims/damages asserted against it by Tiger and Sunwoo, for which the Defendant is wholly or substantially liable under the provisions of the charter party. See Fixture Note annexed hereto as Exhibit "1."
- 21. As a result of the alleged damage to the cargo for which Defendant is wholly or substantially liable under the charter party, Plaintiff has and will suffer substantial damages in the form of the claims and demands made upon it by Tiger and Sunwoo.

- 22. Pursuant to the charter party, all disputes arising thereunder are to be submitted to arbitration in Hong Kong with English Law to apply.
- Plaintiff is preparing to commence arbitration proceedings against the Defendant on its claims.
- 24. Interest, costs and attorneys' fees are routinely awarded to the prevailing party in proceedings subject to English Law. As best as can now be estimated, Plaintiff expects to recover the following amounts in the Final Arbitration Award(s):
 - A. Principal claim:

\$10,000,000.00

B. Estimated interest claim:
7% interest, compounded quarterly and running for three years (estimated date of recovery):

\$2,499,708.16

C. Estimated attorneys' fees and expenses and arbitration costs to be recovered in arbitration between Plaintiff and Defendant:

\$ 2,000,000.00

Total

\$14,499,708.16

- 25. The Defendant cannot be found within this District within the meaning of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, but, upon information and belief, Defendant has, or will have during the pendency of this action, assets within this District and subject to the jurisdiction of this Court, held in the hands of one or more garnishees which are believed to be due and owing to the Defendant.
- 26. The Plaintiff seeks an order from this court directing the Clerk of Court to issue Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, and also pursuant to the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 8, attaching, *inter alia*, any assets of the Defendant held by

the aforesaid garnishee for the purpose of obtaining personal jurisdiction over the Defendant, and to secure the Plaintiff's claims as described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays:

- A. That process in due form of law issue against the Defendant, citing it to appear and answer under oath all and singular the matters alleged in the Verified Complaint;
- B. That the Court retain jurisdiction to compel the Defendant to arbitrate in accordance with the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.;
- C. That since the Defendant cannot be found within this District pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, this Court issue an Order directing the Clerk of Court to issue Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment pursuant to Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, also pursuant to the United States Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 8, attaching all goods, chattels, credits, letters of credit, bills of lading, effects, debts and monies, tangible or intangible, or any other funds held by any garnishee within the District which are due and owing to the Defendant, in the amount of \$14,499,708.16 calculated to date to secure the Plaintiff's claims, and that all persons claiming any interest in the same be cited to appear and pursuant to Supplemental Admiralty Rule B answer the matters alleged in the Complaint;
- D. That this Court recognize and confirm any arbitration award(s) or judgment(s) rendered on the claims set forth herein as a Judgment of this Court
- E. That this Court retain jurisdiction over this matter through the entry of any judgment or award associated with any of the claims currently pending, or which may be initiated in the future, including any appeals thereof;
 - F. That this Court award Plaintiff its attorney's fees and costs of this action; and

G. That the Plaintiff have such other, further and different relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: October 17, 2007 Southport, CT

The Plaintiff, NANYUAN SHIPPING CO. LIMITED

Nancy R. Peterson (NP 2871)

Patrick F. Lennon (PL 2162)

LENNON, MURPHY & LENNON, LLC

420 Lexington Ave., Suite 300

New York, NY 10170

(212) 490-6050 - phone

(212) 490-6070 - fax

nrp@lenmur.com

pfl@lenmur.com

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

State of Connecticut)
) ss.: Town of Southport
County of Fairfield)

- 1. My name is Nancy R. Peterson.
- I am over 18 years of age, of sound mind, capable of making this
 Verification, and fully competent to testify to all matters stated herein.
- 3. I am an attorney in the firm of Lennon, Murphy & Lennon, LLC, attorneys for the Plaintiff.
- 4. I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint and know the contents thereof and believe the same to be true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.
- 5. The reason why this Verification is being made by the deponent and not by the Plaintiff is that the Plaintiff is a business organization with no officers or directors now within this District.
- 6. The source of my knowledge and the grounds for my belief are the statements made, and the documents and information received from, the Plaintiff and agents and/or representatives of the Plaintiff.
 - 7. I am authorized to make this Verification on behalf of the Plaintiff.

Dated: October 17, 2007 Southport, CT

Napcy R. Peterso

EXHIBIT "1"

i, iř. 进国各种以.

FIXTURE NOTE

Cale:2007-5-11 Nd: 07007

CHANER MANTURAL SHIPPING CO LIMITED CHARTER PINCHANG IRON & STEEL COLLID

WALKERACA NAREE

Fig This bit 1953 dat 25424m010.200m see babon 180.825.2m gent 192850025 454h gibt 200.7731188-ban Chara De 20 cm + china fa20 mil acta accep-

STRAFF COO ALLOWED.

- 2. CGC 2500 CMT de bar in bunde abt 2miltoratie lectricos +2500 et de bar in colo abt 2mileant (5%+)- motoco
- \$ UD PORT: 1sbp changehull sho Jacobhic Avis State (S) AT CHARRY OPTION)
- 4: LAYCAN: 13"-15" Intery 2007.
- \$ FRT, USD S3 pmt Fit O6 bas 1/1
- # LIC RATE COO REMOS
- 7: FREIGHT PANNENT: FULL FREIGHT TO SE PAID TO CAMERS NOWHATED DANK ACCT WITHIN 3 BANKONG DAVS AFTER COMPLETION OF LOXONG AND EXCHINGRELEASING BY, TO SHIPPER WICH MARKED TREIGHT PAYABLE AS FER CP & BUT ALVAYS BEST, IN CASE TREIGHT PREPART BSA. REQUESTED, SAME TO BE RELEASED ONLY AFTER FILL FRY SE PAID TO DEMERS ADDIT EXECUCED BY WILD BANK SUP NO DANCERS SAIK CHARLEST, FAIL FAIL MUST SE PAID BY CHIRS NON-RETURNABLE AND NON-DISCOUNTABLE WHETHER COD AND VILLIDET ON NOT LOST

ECHNERS AGENT SENCS

- PLETENTIAN USD 72000 POPM F CARSO (DOC DATEAC) (JPCH VSCS ARVL EDIC). DETENTION IF ANY AT LIPORT TO SETTLED TOGETHER VIZ OFFIT, AND SAME AT DEPORT TO SETTLED 144 NOAYS ACCO NO COMER PROVISION OF REPORTING DOCUS
- 18. USD NO SEPARTONIFAM TE ONOMETSACCI APDARNOMINELI CAFACI EN MASTER
- 11: SHORE CRAYEOR FLOATING CRAYER ANY PLIS FORGLET & ANY AT LOADING PORT TO ON DITING ANNONE TRACES, ANY DELAY THEREBY TO ON CHIES ACCT WITH RATE AS DETENTION. SAME ON ESCH FORT ON GWNER'S AS.
- TE WASTER TEXTER THE HOR BY TEXPANCEL UPON YELD AND THINKY
- 13: TAXIOLES ON COOTIB CHTR'S ACCIT NO SAME ON VSUFRITTS ON OWNERS ACCIT
- 14: CONCEN EXLIS OR OWNER'S BILL'S FORM TO USED
- 15 ALCARGO LOADING DICERCECK
- 15: THE ENTIRE COO SHOULD BE RELEASED AGAINST THE CRUSS.L IF THE ORIS ESE NOT ARRIVE ON
- TIME, CHANER AGREE THE COO DISCHIRELEASE ACAINST FINST CLASS SANK LIG
- 17. CAP IF ANY TB FOR CHIRS ACCT
- THE ABOUT ANY IN HEIGH NO ENGLISH LAW THE APPLIED
- . 12: GAIF ANY TO ADJUSTED AS PER YORK-ARTERNEP ROLLS 1974 IN SELING
- 22: OTHER AS PER GENCON GP 1994

21-2103

CHARERS

for and on behalf of rover see

keisorisei Signitureil

275 SE, SA